



DOI: 10.22144/ctu.jen.2023.019

## Effects of different plant growth regulators as the foliar application on growth and flower quality of potted rose (*Rosa chinensis* Jacq. cv. Nhung)

Pham Thi Phuong Thao<sup>1\*</sup>, Le Van Hoa<sup>1</sup>, Le Thanh Toan<sup>1</sup>, and Trinh Van Ban<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>College of Agriculture, Can Tho University, Viet Nam<sup>2</sup>Student of Can Tho University, Viet Nam\*Correspondence: Pham Thi Phuong Thao (email: [ptpthao@ctu.edu.vn](mailto:ptpthao@ctu.edu.vn))

### Article info.

Received 19 Aug 2022

Revised 14 Feb 2023

Accepted 10 Mar 2023

### Keywords

BA, GA<sub>3</sub>, flower duration, growth, NAA, rose

### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate the different concentrations of Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA), Benzyladenine (BA) and Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) as foliar applications on growth and flower quality of potted rose Nhung (*Rosa chinensis* Jacq. cv. Nhung). The experiment was constructed in a completely randomized design, that included seven treatments, such as control (sprayed water), NAA, BA and GA<sub>3</sub> at two different concentrations of 25 and 50 ppm, in six replications, with one plant/pot for each replication. The chemical solutions were applied three times at an interval of fifteen days. The results revealed that some plant growth regulators increased the growth and flowering time of rose cv. Nhung. Using pre-harvest foliar sprays of NAA and BA at 25 and 50 ppm concentrations showed maximum bud diameter, flower diameter and flowering duration as compared with the control treatment. The concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> foliar application at 25 ppm increased plant growth (the height of flowering branches and Spad values) without affecting flower quality values and the flowering duration in comparison with the control treatment.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rose Nhung (*Rosa chinensis* Jacq. cv. Nhung) belongs to the *Rosaceae* family that is widely grown in most parts of Viet Nam as potted, cutting flowers or ornamental plants (Helgi and Rolfe, 2005). There are different flora forms and petal colors of roses that are used for decoration, medicine, make-up products and for the perfume industry (David, 2006; Younis et al., 2006; Nariman et al., 2011). Among some potted varieties of rose, rose Nhung is very popular in the South of Viet Nam because of its large diameter and typical dark red color; however, the number of flowers are often limited to 1-3 flowers/tree and the blooming time is quiet short. Many reports have shown that several plant growth regulators (PGRs) are implicated in

regulating petal senescence and the flower lifespan. In many rose species, cytokinins reduction, ethylene production and sensitivity are the primary coordinators of petal senescence (Saffari et al., 2004; Zmani et al., 2011; Younis et al., 2013). Spraying plant hormones such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins could delay senescence in floral tissues, enhance the flower diameter, and prolong the flowering time (Saffari et al., 2004; Leiv and Hans, 2005; Kumar, 2008; Nybon, 2009; Younis et al., 2013). However, few studies have investigated the physiological effects of plant growth regulators on selected ornamental plants especially on potted roses in Viet Nam. Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the plant growth, flower development and flower quality of potted

rose Nhung sprayed with different PGRs as foliar applications.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The experiment was conducted in July 2020. The research was conducted for 60 days in a rose garden at Nguyen De Street, Can Tho city, Viet Nam from May to July 2020. During that period, the daily average temperature and average humidity were recorded as 29-30°C and 70-88%, respectively. The cultivar used was rose Nhung (*Rosa chinensis* Jacq. cv. Nhung). The plants were propagated by air layering at the same time one year ago. Single plants were grown in a black plastic pot (DS4 - 26 cm top diameter x 23 cm bottom diameter x 22 cm height). The pot was filled with 2 kg standard growing media based on straw compost + coconut fiber + rice husk ash with the ratio of 1:2:1. Each plant had 3 to 4 branches on the main stem. The branches were pruned and 4 buds/branch were maintained by cutting at a 45 degree angle at the experiment time.

The 42 pots were arranged in a completely randomized design in the yard. They included seven treatments, including the control (sprayed water), and sprayed with NAA, BA and GA<sub>3</sub> at two

different concentrations of 25 and 50 ppm with six replications for each treatment. One replication comprised one plant per pot. Each chemical solution was applied to selected rose plants by using a mist sprayer (1.000 mL). The whole plant received 100 mL of solution through spraying, while the controls were sprayed with distilled water. The solutions were applied three times at an interval of fifteen days and the first application was performed 15 days after pruning.

Plants were kept under natural photoperiod with natural light and each received the same amount of water and fertilizer. Plants were watered manually daily to container capacity with about 500 mL of tap water per pot. Every fifteen days, organic fertilizer Japon 3.5.3 (Japan, 73% organic) was applied at a concentration of 1 g/pot. When the young leaves were fully developed, Emamectin Benzoate (MIKMIRE 7.9EC) at the recommended dosage was foliar applied once.

The determination of growth, total chlorophyll content and quality of flowers were described following the methods of Mondal and Sarkar (2018) (Table 1):

**Table 1. Some qualitative and quantitative factors in the experiment**

| Criteria   | Methods  |
|--|--|
| Growth parameters                                      | Recorded the number of branches and flowers per plant (count), the number of leaves/shoot, shoot length (cm) and the flowers/shoot ratio.  |
| Spad values  | Recorded the values by using Minolta chlorophyll meter SPAD-502Plus (Konika, Japan). SPAD values were measured at the midpoint of the leaf next to the main leaf vein at three different times (15, 30 and 45 days after pruning). |
| Measurement of bud initiation and flowering parameters | The height and size of buds and diameter of flowers (cm); days from bud emergence to flower abscission (days); days from flower initiation to flower abscission (days).  |
| Petal color  | Using ColorPix software to identify RGB values (Red Green Blue) and R values were compared.  |

For statistical analysis of the data, analysis of variance (Anova) and mean separation were performed by using Duncan's multiple range test at the  $p < 0.05$  level. statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Effects of different plant growth regulators on selected growth parameters of potted rose Nhung

The influence of all treatments on shoot initiation was observed throughout the experiment. The results showed that there was no significant effect of

the different PGRs treatments on the number of new branches. The number of new branches fluctuated from 5.40 to 6.00 branches per rose plant. The average number of flowers per plant ranged from 2.40 to 3.80, but significantly the maximum number of flower (3.80 flower/plant) was recorded when the plants were treated with BA 50 ppm. The control treatment and the two concentration of NAA treatments significantly produced the lowest number of flowers ( $< 3$ ). Data revealed that the number of flower initiation per plant was higher after spraying some GA<sub>3</sub> and BA concentrations than in the control treatment (Table 2). It is clear from the data presented in Table 2 that the

treatments of BA 25 ppm, GA<sub>3</sub> at 25 or 50 ppm exhibited maximum length of branches (over 26 cm) and minimum length of branch was reported in the control treatment (25.3 cm).

Some plant growth regulators belonging to the group of auxins, cytokinins and gibberellins cause stem elongation, flower induction and flower quality in many ornamental plants (Saffari et al., 2004; Zmani et al., 2011; Younis et al., 2013; Sardoei, 2014). In Viet Nam, induction of flowering by using BA or GA<sub>3</sub> have also been reported in potted lily and chrysanthemum (Siddiqui, 2006). From the below results, some NAA concentrations didn't show the best results on some growth parameters as highlighted in the studies of Jiang et al. (2010) or Saffari et al. (2004).

In potted rose Nhung, adding plant growth regulators at different concentrations had no effect on the quantity of new branches; however, they affected the number of flowering branches per plants. The treatments showed the number of new branches at approximately 5.6-6.0 branches/plant and number of flowering branches at 2.4-3.8

branches/plant. Among them, the treatment of BA 50 ppm showed more flowers than other treatments of NAA and water control (lower than 3 flowers/plant). Moreover, all treatments showed different lengths of flowering branches, but no difference in the number of leaves per branch (Table 2). The results also showed that adding BA at 25 ppm, GA<sub>3</sub> at 25 ppm and 50 ppm, increased the length of flowering branches of rose plants cv. Nhung (branch length acquired >26 cm), compared with that of the water control (25.3 cm).

Results of this research are in line to several reported roles of chemical compounds belongs to auxin, cytokinins and gibberellins on increasing the length of flowering branches, quantity of flowers and flower quality in several ornamental species (Saffari et al., 2004; Sardoei, 2014). Our results showed all experimental concentrations of NAA had no effect on increasing flower quantity on rose plants, compared with that of water control, although NAA was suggested to apply and enhanced flower forming in several ornamental species (Jiang et al., 2010; Saffari et al., 2004).

**Table 2. Effect of NAA, BA and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth of potted rose Nhung (*Rosa chinensis* Jacq. cv. Nhung) cultivar at 45 days after pruning**

| Treatment              | Growth parameters  |                   |                  |                         |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                        | Number of branches | Number of flowers | Length of branch | Number of leaves/branch |
| Distilled water        | 5.40               | 2.40 c            | 25.33 c          | 6.60                    |
| NAA 25 ppm             | 5.60               | 2.80 bc           | 26.32 bc         | 6.60                    |
| NAA 50 ppm             | 5.60               | 2.80 bc           | 26.41 bc         | 6.60                    |
| BA 25 ppm              | 5.60               | 3.60 ab           | 26.73 ab         | 6.80                    |
| BA 50 ppm              | 5.60               | 3.80 a            | 26.34 bc         | 6.80                    |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm | 6.00               | 3.20 ab           | 27.01 ab         | 6.40                    |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm | 5.80               | 3.20 ab           | 27.82 a          | 6.60                    |
| F significance         | ns                 | *                 | **               | ns                      |
| CV (%)                 | 11.00              | 22.20             | 3,35             | 8.80                    |

Note: values followed by the same letters in the same column it means no significantly different in Duncan

Results on leaf chlorophyll content through SPAD index showed that various kinds and concentrations of chemicals affected chlorophyll index during three observation time points at 15, 30 and 45 days (Table 3).

The treatment of BA 50 ppm remained higher chlorophyll content than the water control during three observation time intervals. Moreover, the roses treated with GA<sub>3</sub> 25 ppm acquired a higher SPAD index, compared to that of water control, at day 15 and 45. The SPAD index is usually applied

to evaluate chlorophyll content on leaves, and this index is parallel to surveyed chlorophyll content (Markwell et al., 1995). Adding exogenous cytokinins, especially BA, plays a crucial role on remain chlorophyll concentration of plants by an increase of chlorophyll production and protection of chloroplast membrane (Saffari et al., 2004; Mikos-Bielak, 2005; He et al., 2018). In rose plants, an application of BA kept and increased chlorophyll colour of rose leaves, compared with one of GA<sub>3</sub> and water control (Mondal and Sarkar, 2018).

**Table 3. Effect of NAA, BA and GA<sub>3</sub> on chlorophyll index (SPAD index) of potted rose Nhung (*Rosa chinensis* Jacq. cv. Nhung) cultivar**

| Treatments             | Chlorophyll index (SPAD) |           |          |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
|                        | 15 days                  | 30 days   | 45 days  |
| Distilled water        | 39.43 bc                 | 41.03 bc  | 40.09b   |
| NAA 25 ppm             | 40.24 abc                | 41.62 ab  | 40.85 b  |
| NAA 50 ppm             | 39.91 abc                | 41.14 abc | 40.82 ab |
| BA 25 ppm              | 40.53 ab                 | 42.14 ab  | 41.67 a  |
| BA 50 ppm              | 40.73 a                  | 42.32 a   | 41.85 a  |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm | 40.82 a                  | 41.61 ab  | 41.62 a  |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm | 39.21 bc                 | 40.12 c   | 40.08 ab |
| F significance         | *                        | *         | **       |
| CV (%)                 | 2.12                     | 2.17      | 1.97     |

Note: values followed by the same letters in the same column mean no significantly different in Duncan ( $p < 0.05$ ).

### 3.2. Effect of several plant growth regulators on characteristics of bud, flower and flower life span

Treating various kinds and concentrations of plant growth regulators affected the diameter of buds, flowers and the percentage of flower/total new branches (Table 4). On shape characteristics of rose

buds, treatments of NAA and BA at various concentrations improved height and parameter of rose buds. Adding NAA and BA at a concentration of 25 ppm could increase rose bud diameter, compared with that of water control. However, kinds and concentrations of different chemicals had no effect on forming flowering branches per total new branches during a period of rose bud forming.

**Table 4. Effect of NAA, BA and GA<sub>3</sub> on flowering quality parameters of potted rose Nhung**

| Treatments             | Flowering quality parameters |                            |                         |   |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|
|                        | Height of rose buds (cm)     | Diameter of rose buds (cm) | Diameter of flower (cm) | Percentage of flower/total new branches (%) |
| Distilled water        | 2.44 c                       | 1.40 b                     | 8.02 b                  | 44.66                                       |
| NAA 25 ppm             | 2.62 a                       | 1.57 a                     | 8.50 a                  | 50.71                                       |
| NAA 50 ppm             | 2.64 a                       | 1.57 a                     | 8.34 ab                 | 50.67                                       |
| BA 25 ppm              | 2.71 a                       | 1.61 a                     | 8.48 a                  | 58.02                                       |
| BA 50 ppm              | 2.60 ab                      | 1.59 a                     | 8.44 ab                 | 61.28                                       |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm | 2.49 bc                      | 1.41 b                     | 8.08 ab                 | 52.77                                       |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm | 2.41 c                       | 1.39 b                     | 8.10 ab                 | 56.09                                       |
| F significance         | **                           | **                         | *                       | ns  |
| CV (%)                 | 3.71                         | 4.20                       | 3.54                    | 25.11                                       |

Note: values followed by the same letters in the same column it means no significantly different in Duncan ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Applied chemicals affected petal colour, budding time and flowering time. Petal colour of treatments NAA 25 ppm and BA 50 ppm were lighter than those of the water control and GA<sub>3</sub> 25 ppm, expressing by bigger diameter of rose petals, resulted in lighter rose petals when assessing parameter R on the RGB colour model of software Colorprix. Except two treatments of GA<sub>3</sub>, other treatments of NAA and BA prolonged flower time from flowering to fading (> 20 days) and time from budding to fading (7.8-9.2 days), compared with those of water control (7.2 and 18.4 days, respectively) (Table 5).

Roles of auxins and cytokinins compounds on increasing the size of buds and flowers were reported in roses, carnations, marigolds, lilies and petunias (Chang et al., 2003; Saffari et al., 2004; Bairwa and Mishra, 2017; Kumari et al., 2017). Several studies have shown that wilt, senescence and drop of petals were caused by an increasing activity by endogenous ethylene, deterioration of cell wall components and an increasing activity of destroyed enzymes (Van Doorn, 2002; Wagstaff et al., 2003; Lerslerwong et al., 2009). A decrease in endogenous plant growth regulators could enhance the process of wilt and senescence (Lukaszewska et

al., 1994; Ma et al., 2005). Therefore, an exogenous supply of these regulators could improve quality and flowering time in several ornamental plants (Saffari

et al., 2004; Hoeberichts et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2010; Sardoei, 2014; Mondal and Sarkar, 2018).

**Table 5. Effect of NAA, BA and GA<sub>3</sub> on colour and life span of flower of rose flowers (*Rosa chinensis* Jacq. cv. Nhung)**

| Treatments             | Colour parameter R on RGB colour model | Flower time from flowering to fading (day) | Time from budding to fading (day) |
|------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Distilled water        | 219.00 ab                              | 6.80 d                                     | 18.73 d                           |
| NAA 25 ppm             | 195.00 c                               | 7.80 bc                                    | 20.36 c                           |
| NAA 50 ppm             | 204.00 bc                              | 8.40 ab                                    | 20.87 bc                          |
| BA 25 ppm              | 201.00 bc                              | 8.80 a                                     | 21.76 ab                          |
| BA 50 ppm              | 196.00 c                               | 9.20 a                                     | 22.33 a                           |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm | 221.00 a                               | 7.00 cd                                    | 19.02 d                           |
| GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm | 216.00 ab                              | 7.20 cd                                    | 18.36 d                           |
| F significance         | *                                      | **   | **                                |
| CV (%)                 | 14.50                                  | 8.02                                       | 4.34                              |

Note: values followed by the same letters in the same column it means no significantly different in Duncan ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

### 4.1. Conclusions

Treatments of plant growth regulators showed a positive affect on growth, bud diameter, flower diameter, colour and flowering time in potted rose Nhung.

Sprays of NAA and BA at concentrations of 25 and 50 ppm increased te chlorophyll index, rosebud diameter, flower diameter, and prolonged flowering time reached over 20 days in potted rose cv. Nhung, compared with the water control. Application of BA 25 ppm increased the quality of flowering branches (>3 branches), branch height (> 26 cm), SPAD index at day 45 (>41), also enhanced size of buds

and flowers (1.61 cm and 8.48 cm, respectively) and flowering time (> 21 days). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> 25 ppm increased branch height (27 cm), as well as chlorophyll index (41.6), but no efficacy on flower quality and flowering time compared to the water control.

### 4.2. Suggestions

Apply NAA and BA at the concentration of 25 ppm on potted rose Nhung to improve the growth and flower quality

Continue to characterize the interaction of cultural techniques, fertilizers and plant growth regulators on the quality of potted rose Nhung.

## REFERENCES

- Bairwa, S., & Mishra, J. S. (2017). Effect of NAA, BA and kinetin on yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(6), 1236-1241. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.606.144>
- Chang, H., Jones, M. L., Banowitz, G. M., & Clark, D. G. (2003). Overproduction of cytokinins in petunia flowers transformed with P (SAG12)-IPT delays corolla senescence and decreases sensitivity to ethylene. *Plant Physiology*, 132(4), 2174–2183. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.023945>
- David, C. Z. (2006). Chapter 26: Rose (*Rosa x hybrida*), pp.695 – 738, in: Anderson No (editor), *Flower Breeding and Genetics*, Springer, Netherlands.
- He, H., Qin, J., Cheng, X., Xu, K., Teng, L., & Zhang, D. (2018). Effects of exogenous 6-BA and NAA on growth and contents of medicinal ingredient of *Phellodendron chinense* seedlings. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 25(6), 1189-1195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2017.11.037>
- Helgi Ö., S.A. Rolfe (2005). *The physiology of flowering plants*. Cambridge University Press. 392 pp.
- Hoeberichts, F. A., Doorn, W. G.van, Vorst, O., Hall, R. D., & Wordragen, M. F.van. (2007). Sucrose prevents up-regulation of senescence-associated genes in carnation petals. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 58, 2873-2875.
- Jiang, B. B., Chen, S. M., Miao, H. B., Zhang, S. M., Chen, F. D., & Fang, W. M. (2010). Changes of endogenous hormone levels during short day inductive floral initiation and florescence differentiation of *Chrysanthemum morifolium* “Jingyun”. *International Journal Plant Production*, 4, 151-160.
- Kumar, P. S., Bhagawatp, R., Kumar, R., & Ronya, T. (2008). Effect of plant growth regulators on

- vegetative growth, flowering and corm production of gladiolus in Arunachal Pradesh. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, 11(4), 265-270.
- Kumari, S., Kumar, S., Singh, C. P., & Dhama, V. (2017). Effect of pre harvest treatment on flower quality and vase life of *Asiatic liliium cv. arcachon*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(9), 2969-2974. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.609.364>
- Leiv, M. M. & Hans, R. G. (2005). Effect of air humidity variation on powdery mildew and keeping quality of cut roses. *Scientia Horticulture*, 104(1), 49-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2004.08.002>
- Lerslerwong, L., Ketsa, S., & Doorn, W. G.van. (2009). Protein degradation and peptidase activity during petal senescence in *Dendrobium cv. Khao Sanan*. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 52(1), 84-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.09.009>
- Lukaszewska, A.J., Bianco, J., Barthe, P., & Le Page-Degivry, M. T. (1994). En-dogenous cytokinins in rose petals and the effect of exogenously applied cytokinins on flower senescence. *Plant Growth Regulations*, 14, 119–126.
- Ma, N., Cai, L. Lu, W., Tan, H., & Gao, J. (2005). Exogenous ethylene influences flower opening of cut roses (*Rosa hybrida*) by regulating the genes encoding ethylene biosynthesis enzymes. *Science China Life Sciences*, 48(5), 434–444. <https://doi.org/10.1360/062004-37>
- Markwell J., Osterman, J., & Mitchell, J. (1995). Calibration of the Minolta SPAD-502 leaf chlorophyll meter. *Photosynthesis Research*, 46, 467-472.
- Mikos-Bielak, M. (2005). Exogenous growth regulators in potato. *Annales UMCS section E*, 60, 282-292.
- Mondal, S., & Sarkar, M. (2018). Influence of plant growth regulators on growth, flowering and yield characteristics of *Hybrid Tea Rose cv. Bugatti* during spring-summer months. *Advances in Research*, 12(6), 1-7.
- Nariman, A, Salama, K., & Abouseada, M. (2011). Improvement of production and quality of AL–Taif Rose via tissue culture techniques. *Adv. Scholar Med.*, 1(3), 22-25.
- Siddiqui, Z.A. (2006). PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Springer Science & Business Media. 318 pp.
- Nybon, H. (2009). Introduction to Rosa. p. 339-351. In: K.M. Folta and S.E. Gardiner (eds.), *Plant Genetics and Genomics: genetics and genomics of Rosaceae, crops and models*. Springer Science Business Media, LLC.
- Saffari, V. R., Khalighi, A., Lesani, H., Babalar, M., & Obermaier, J. F. (2004). Effects of different plant growth regulators and time of pruning on yield components of *Rosa damascena* Mill. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 6(6), 1040-1042.
- Sardoei, A. S. (2014). Plant growth regulators effect on the growth and photosynthetic pigments on three indoor ornamental plants. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 4(2), 311-318. [www.pelagiaresearchlibrary.com](http://www.pelagiaresearchlibrary.com)
- Van Doorn, W. G. (2002). Does ethylene treatment mimic the effects of pollination on floral lifespan and attractiveness? *Annals of Botany*, 89(4), 375-383.
- Wagstaff, C., Malcolm, P., Rafiq, A., Leverentz, M., Griffiths, G., Thomas, B., Stead, A., & Rogers, H. J. (2003). Programmed cell death (PCD) processes begin extremely early in *Alstroemeria* petal senescence. *New Phytologist*, 160(1), 49-59. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00853.x>
- Younis, A., Khan, M. A., Ali, A., & Pervez, M. A. (2006). Performance of four rosa species under Faisalabad agro-climatic conditions. *Caderno de Pesquisa Journal*, 18, 8-15.
- Younis, A.R., Aslam, S., Ahsan, M., Tariq, U., Javaid, F., Nadeem, M., & Hameed, M. (2013). Effect of different pruning dates on growth and flowering of *Rosa centifolia*. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Science*, 50(4), 605-609.
- Zmani, S., Kazemi, M. & Aran, M. (2011). Postharvest life of cut rose flowers as affected by salicylic acid and glutamin. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 12(9), 1621-1624.