Manuscript Review

After log in, click Dashboard\Submission\My Assigned\manuscript's title

| Submissions | My Que | eue 1 Archives 1 | | | 0 Helj |
|-------------|--------|--|-----------------|--|----------------|
| | My A | Assigned | Q Search | | New Submission |
| | 292 | Review Assignment In vitro anti-inflammatory resorcinol derivatives and their in si A Waiting for a response from the reviewer. | ilico analysis | 2021-01-17 Response Due 2021-01-31 Review Due | |

Selecting the review link will take you to the first review step

1. Request

2. Guidelines 3. Download & Review

4. Completion

Request for Review

You have been selected as a potential reviewer of the following submission. Below is an overview of the submission, as well as the timeline for this review. We hope that you are able to participate.

Article Title

In vitro anti-inflammatory resorcinol derivatives and their in silico analysis

Abstract

Resorcinol with its two hydroxyl groups was derivatized in laboratory to observe the anti-inflammatory potential in vitro. Subsequently, in silico docking analysis was done for observing the binding modes in cyclooxygenase enzyme to have idea about the subsequent possible developments. At the doses of 200 mg/mL and 400 mg/mL, the compounds showed the anti-inflammatory property. Among them, 1,3-phenylene bis(2-chloro-4-nitrobenzoate) also offered dose dependent 51% and 70% of inhibition of heat-induced hemolysis respectively. The scaffold thus poses as an interesting pharmacophore suitable for further development for managing the inflammatory disorders.

Review Type

Double-blind

Scroll down, click Accept Review to move to review step 2, where you can find guidelines for reviewers

| Review Files | Q Search | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|--|
| 393-1 Article Text, Revision_v1.0.docx | January 15, 2021 | Article Text | |

View All Submission Details

Review Schedule





2021-02-01

Editor's Request

Response Due Date

Review Due Date

About Due Dates

Accept Review, Continue to Step #2

Decline Review Request

Click here to decline review request

Hit Continue to move to step 3

1. Request 2. Guidelines 3. Download & Review 4. Completion

Reviewer Guidelines

Review reports

In evaluating a manuscript, reviewers should focus on the following:

- Originality
- · Contribution to the field
- Technical quality
- · Clarity of presentation
- · Depth of research

Reviewers should also:

- Observe that the author(s) have followed the instruction for authors, editorial policies and publication ethics.
- Observe that the appropriate journal's reporting guidelines is followed

The report should be accurate, objective, constructive and unambiguous. Comments should be backed by facts and constructive arguments with regards to the content of the manuscript. Reviewers should avoid using "hostile, derogatory and accusatory comments" PIE.

Reviewers should not rewrite the manuscript; however necessary corrections and suggestions for improvements should be made.

Timeliness

Reviewers should only accept manuscript that they are confident that they can dedicate appropriate time in reviewing. Thus, reviewers should review and return manuscripts in a timely manner.

Recommendation

Reviewers' recommendation should be either:

- Accept
- Requires minor corrections
- · Requires moderate revision
- Requires major revision
- Not suitable for the journal. Submit to another publication such as (suggest a journal):
- Reject

Recommendation should be backed with constructive arguments and facts based on the content of the manuscript.

Continue to Step #3 Go Back

Reviewer can download manuscript file, assess the paper and enter comments for Authors or Editors

| Review Files | | | | Q Search |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------|
| 389-1 Article Text, OJS_TaiLieu.doc | | January 13, 2021 | Article Text | |
| 390-1 Article Text, OJS_TaiLieu_v1.1.doc | | January 13, 2021 | Article Text | |
| Do the abstract fully describe the text? * | | | | |
| You can only choose one | | | | |
| Yes Partial | | | | |
| No | Comments to Author(s) | | | |
| Is an adequate description of the methodology used included? * | | | | |
| You can only choose one | | | | |
| Yes Partial | | | | |
| O No | Comments to Editors | | | |
| Do the results answer the research questions? * | | | | |
| You can only choose one | | | | |
| Yes | | | | |

- Partial
- No

Are relavant and updated references appropriately cited? *

You can only choose one

Yes

Partial
 No

Scroll down the page to optionally upload a marked up copy of the review file

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

| Reviewer Files | | Q Search | Upload File |
|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| | No Files | | |

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

