

Review Process

After log in, click Dashboard/Submission/My Assigned/manuscript's title

Submissions

My Queue 1

Archives 1

 Help

My Assigned




Search

[New Submission](#)

292

Review Assignment

In vitro anti-inflammatory resorcinol derivatives and their in silico analysis

 Waiting for a response from the reviewer.

2021-01-17

Response Due

2021-01-31

Review Due

Selecting the review link will take you to the first review step

1. Request

2. Guidelines

3. Download & Review

4. Completion

Request for Review

You have been selected as a potential reviewer of the following submission. Below is an overview of the submission, as well as the timeline for this review. We hope that you are able to participate.

Article Title

In vitro anti-inflammatory resorcinol derivatives and their in silico analysis


Abstract

Resorcinol with its two hydroxyl groups was derivatized in laboratory to observe the anti-inflammatory potential in vitro. Subsequently, in silico docking analysis was done for observing the binding modes in cyclooxygenase enzyme to have idea about the subsequent possible developments. At the doses of 200 mg/mL and 400 mg/mL, the compounds showed the anti-inflammatory property. Among them, 1,3-phenylene bis(2-chloro-4-nitrobenzoate) also offered dose dependent 51% and 70% of inhibition of heat-induced hemolysis respectively. The scaffold thus poses as an interesting pharmacophore suitable for further development for managing the inflammatory disorders.

Review Type

Double-blind

Scroll down, click Accept Review to move to review step 2, where you can find guidelines for reviewers

Review Files		Q Search	
 393-1	Article Text, Revision_v1.0.docx	January 15, 2021	Article Text

[View All Submission Details](#)

Review Schedule

2021-01-15	2021-01-18	2021-02-01
<i>Editor's Request</i>	<i>Response Due Date</i>	<i>Review Due Date</i>

[About Due Dates](#)

 Click here to decline review request

Hit Continue to move to step 3

1. Request

2. Guidelines

3. Download & Review

4. Completion

Reviewer Guidelines

Review reports

In evaluating a manuscript, reviewers should focus on the following:

- Originality
- Contribution to the field
- Technical quality
- Clarity of presentation
- Depth of research

Reviewers should also:

- Observe that the author(s) have followed the instruction for authors, editorial policies and publication ethics.
- Observe that the appropriate journal's reporting guidelines is followed

The report should be accurate, objective, constructive and unambiguous. Comments should be backed by facts and constructive arguments with regards to the content of the manuscript. Reviewers should avoid using "hostile, derogatory and accusatory comments" [PIE](#).

Reviewers should not rewrite the manuscript; however necessary corrections and suggestions for improvements should be made.

Timeliness

Reviewers should only accept manuscript that they are confident that they can dedicate appropriate time in reviewing. Thus, reviewers should review and return manuscripts in a timely manner.

Recommendation

Reviewers' recommendation should be either:

- Accept
- Requires minor corrections
- Requires moderate revision
- Requires major revision
- Not suitable for the journal. Submit to another publication such as (suggest a journal):
- Reject

Recommendation should be backed with constructive arguments and facts based on the content of the manuscript.

Continue to Step #3

[Go Back](#)

Reviewer can download manuscript file, assess the paper and enter comments for Authors or Editors


Review Files

Q Search

 389-1 Article Text, OJS_TaiLieu.doc

January 13,
2021

Article Text

 390-1 Article Text, OJS_TaiLieu_v1.1.doc

January 13,
2021

Article Text

Do the abstract fully describe the text? *

You can only choose one

- Yes
- Partial
- No

Is an adequate description of the methodology used included? *

You can only choose one

- Yes
- Partial
- No

Do the results answer the research questions? *

You can only choose one

- Yes
- Partial
- No

Are relevant and updated references appropriately cited? *

You can only choose one

- Yes
- Partial
- No

Comments to Author(s)

(Empty text box for comments to author(s))

Comments to Editors

(Empty text box for comments to editors)



Scroll down the page to optionally upload a marked up copy of the review file

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

Reviewer Files	Q Search	Upload File
<i>No Files</i>		

Select a recommendation, then hit Submit Review/Ok to complete the task

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

Resubmit for Review



Submit Review

Save for Later

Go Back

Confirm



Are you sure you want to submit this review?

OK

Cancel